




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)




Date: Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

APR 11 2014



IN RE: PETITIONER:



PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner did not submit a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B, U Nonimmigrant Status Certification (Form I-918 Supplement B). On appeal, the petitioner, through counsel, submits a statement and additional evidence. Counsel also indicates that a brief or other evidence will be submitted within 30 days. As of the date of this decision, the AAO has received no additional statements or evidence.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain qualifying criminal activity and their qualifying family members. Section 214(p)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p)(1) states:

The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification from a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, judge, or other Federal, State, or local authority investigating criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii). This certification may also be provided by an official of the Service whose ability to provide such certification is not limited to information concerning immigration violations. This certification shall state that the alien "has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful" in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii).

Regarding the application procedures for U nonimmigrant classification, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c) states, in pertinent part:

(2) *Initial evidence.* Form I-918 must include the following initial evidence:

(i) Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification," signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918. The certification must state that: the person signing the certificate is the head of the certifying agency, or any person(s) in a supervisory role who has been specifically designated by the head of the certifying agency to issue U nonimmigrant status certifications on behalf of that agency, or is a Federal, State, or local judge; the agency is a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, or prosecutor, judge or other authority, that has responsibility for the detection, investigation, prosecution, conviction, or sentencing of qualifying criminal activity; the applicant has been a victim of qualifying criminal activity that the certifying official's agency is investigating or prosecuting; the

petitioner possesses information concerning the qualifying criminal activity of which he or she has been a victim; the petitioner has been, is being, or is likely to be helpful to an investigation or prosecution of that qualifying criminal activity; and the qualifying criminal activity violated U.S. law, or occurred in the United States, its territories, its possessions, Indian country, or at military installations abroad.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4), prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition. Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification."

Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Mexico who claims to have entered the United States in March 2007 without admission, inspection or parole. The petitioner filed the instant Form I-918, Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status (Form I-918 U petition) on February 14, 2012 with a photocopied Form I-918 Supplement B. The director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE) of a properly signed Form I-918 Supplement B with an original signature and a copy of the personal data page of the petitioner's passport. In response to the RFE, the petitioner, through counsel, submitted additional evidence which the director found insufficient to establish the petitioner's eligibility. Accordingly, the director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to submit a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B. The petitioner timely appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition.

On appeal, counsel states that the Dallas, Texas Police Department refused to issue a new Form I-918 Supplement B because the petitioner does not meet all of the Department's guidelines for a U visa law enforcement certification. Counsel claims that the Dallas, Texas Police Department's guidelines "usurp the decision-making power of USCIS" because the guidelines require more from victims than USCIS in order to obtain a law enforcement certification.

Analysis

The AAO conducts appellate review on a de novo basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). Upon review, we find no error in the director's decision to deny the petition based upon lack of required initial evidence.

The petitioner has failed to submit a Form I-918 Supplement B with an original handwritten signature from a certifying official, which is required initial evidence when filing a Form I-918 U petition. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(2). In addition, it is not clear that the officer at the Dallas Police Department, who signed the Form I-918 Supplement B, is the official designated to issue U nonimmigrant certifications. According to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii), “[i]f all required initial evidence is not submitted with the benefit request or does not demonstrate eligibility, USCIS in its discretion may deny the benefit request for lack of initial evidence or for ineligibility”

Although on appeal counsel asserts that the Dallas, Texas Police Department limits relief to victims by following guidelines for U visa law enforcement certifications that exceed those required by USCIS, USCIS has no discretion to waive the statutorily required law enforcement certification described at section 214(p)(1) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i). We recognize the difficulties that a petitioner may face in obtaining a law enforcement certification; however, a decision by a law enforcement entity to decline to issue the required certification is a discretionary one that USCIS has no jurisdiction to review. *See Ordonez Orosco v. Napolitano*, 598 F.3d 222 (5th Cir. 2010).

As the petitioner has failed to provide a Form I-918 Supplement B, she has failed to establish her eligibility for U nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act. *See* subsections 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I)–(IV) of the Act (requiring qualifying criminal activity for all prongs of eligibility).

Conclusion

The petitioner has not submitted the certification described at section 214(p)(1) of the Act or complied with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i) regarding the submission of required initial evidence. The petitioner is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act and her petition must be denied.

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.