


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



dg

Date: **MAY 23 2011** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:


SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,



Perry Rhew

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

An appeal must be filed with the office where the unfavorable decision was made within 30 days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on October 8, 2010. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that he had 33 days to file the appeal. The petitioner dated the appeal November 23, 2010, or 46 days after the decision was issued, and it was received by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) on January 18, 2011, or 102 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1) states that an appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

An untimely filed appeal must meet specific requirements to be treated as a motion. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or USCIS policy.

The director denied the petition determining that the petitioner failed to comply with the regulatory requirements for supporting documentation. On appeal, the petitioner submits proof of the termination of his marriage to [REDACTED] and a Form G-325A, Biographic Information, for the beneficiary. Upon review, the petitioner has not established that his late appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider, as the petitioner still has not submitted the following documentation requested by the director: proof his U.S. citizenship; and a passport-style color photograph for himself. Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner also has not submitted the following required documentation: proof of the legal termination of the beneficiary's marriage to [REDACTED] evidence that he and the beneficiary personally met within the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition or that he qualifies for a waiver of that requirement; a passport-style color photograph for the beneficiary; and original statements from himself and the beneficiary or other evidence that establishes their mutual intent to marry within 90 days of the beneficiary's entry into the United States in K-1 status.<sup>1</sup> As the petitioner has not

---

<sup>1</sup>The instructions to the I-129F petition describe the documentation that must be submitted for both the petitioner and the beneficiary when filing a petition to classify a beneficiary as a fiancé(e) of a U.S. citizen.

established that the director's decision was based upon an incorrect application of law or policy, and has failed to submit sufficient evidence to overcome the director's grounds for denial, the petitioner's untimely filed appeal does not meet the requirements for a motion to reopen or reconsider and must be rejected.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.