

(b)(6)



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services




Date:

JAN 13 2015

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE:



IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:



PETITION:

Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center (the director), denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Jordan, as the fiancé of a United States citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) because the petitioner failed to submit evidence of the termination of the petitioner's prior marriage.

The petitioner's Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, does not contain any statement explaining any error or conclusion of law or fact in the director's decision. Part 4 of the Form I-290B, specifically instructs the petitioner to provide a statement regarding the basis for the appeal on a separate sheet of paper. The Form I-290B was not accompanied by any statement by the petitioner.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part that:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The petitioner does not identify any errors made by the director. The petitioner's appeal, therefore, fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact, and will be summarily dismissed.

In these proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; 8 C.F.R. § 341.2(c). Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.