U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

(b)(6)

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Date: JAN 1 5 2015 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center (the director), denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Thailand, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) because the petitioner failed to submit additional documentation in accordance with the requirements of the International Marriage Broker Regulation Act (IMBRA) of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-162, dated January 5, 2006.

Applicable Law

A "fiancé(e)" is defined at Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act as:

> subject to subsections (d) and (p) of section 214, an alien who -
>
> (i) is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States . . . and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission[.]

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

> shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Secretary of Homeland Security in [her] discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person. . . .

On January 5, 2006, the President signed the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (VAWA 2005), Pub. L. 109-162, 119 Stat. 2960 (2006), 8 U.S.C. § 1375a. Title VII of VAWA 2005 is entitled "Protection of Battered and Trafficked Immigrants," and contains Subtitle D, "International Marriage Broker Regulation" (IMBRA). IMBRA provides that a petitioner for a nonimmigrant visa for an alien fiancé(e) (K-1) or alien spouse (K-3) must submit with his or her Form I-129F information on any criminal convictions for any of the following "specified crimes":

- Domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse and neglect, dating violence, elder abuse, and stalking.
- Homicide, murder, manslaughter, rape, abusive sexual contact, sexual exploitation, incest, torture, trafficking, peonage, holding hostage, involuntary servitude, slave trade, kidnapping, abduction, unlawful criminal restraint, false imprisonment, or an attempt to commit any of these crimes.

- Crimes relating to a controlled substance or alcohol where the petitioner has been convicted on at least three occasions and where such crimes did not arise from a single act.

If the petitioner indicates that he or she has been convicted by a court or by a military tribunal for one of the specified crimes or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) ascertains through relevant background checks that the petitioner has been convicted, the petitioner will be required to submit certified copies of all court and police records showing the charges and dispositions for every conviction. This is required even if the petitioner's records were sealed or otherwise cleared.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or does not demonstrate eligibility, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The specific requirements for filing a Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F), including a description of the required initial evidence, may be found in the *Instructions* to the Form I-129F.

Factual and Procedural History

The petitioner filed the fiancé(e) petition with USCIS on February 4, 2014 without sufficient supporting evidence. For this reason, the director issued a request for additional evidence and, in response, the petitioner submitted additional documentary evidence but failed to submit all the required court disposition documents.

The director denied the petition noting that the petitioner had indicated that he had "[t]hree or more convictions for crimes relating to controlled substance or alcohol not arising from a single act" but had not provided the relevant court disposition documents.

On appeal, the petitioner submits the docket information for two Driving while Under the Influence (DUI) convictions, one in 1991 and one in 1990. The applicant states that he did not have a third (DUI) conviction as he had previously stated. *See* Appeal Statement.

Analysis

The petitioner has now submitted all the required court disposition documents relating to his criminal conduct in 1990, 1991 and 1993. Pursuant to IMBRA, this information is now part of the record and must be provided to the U.S. Department of State for disclosure to the beneficiary during the consular interview.

Conclusion

In fiancé(e) visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1); *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has been met.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.