



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)



Date: **JUL 09 2015**

FILE: [REDACTED]
PETITION RECEIPT: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
 Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and
 Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

NO REPRESENTATIVE OF RECORD

Enclosed is the non-precedent decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for your case.

If you believe we incorrectly decided your case, you may file a motion requesting us to reconsider our decision and/or reopen the proceeding. The requirements for motions are located at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Motions must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) **within 33 days of the date of this decision**. The Form I-290B web page (www.uscis.gov/i-290b) contains the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. **Please do not mail any motions directly to the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native of a citizen of the Philippines, as the fiancée of a U.S. citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) because the petitioner failed to submit required initial evidence. On appeal, the petitioner submits additional evidence.

Applicable Law

A "fiancé(e)" is defined at Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act as:

subject to subsections (d) and (p) of section 214, an alien who -

(i) is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States . . . and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission[.]

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Secretary of Homeland Security in his discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person. . . .

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or does not demonstrate eligibility, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The specific requirements for filing a Form I-129F, Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) (Form I-129F), including a description of the required initial evidence, may be found in the *Instructions* to the Form I-129F.

The statutory requirement of an in-person meeting between the petitioner and the beneficiary is further explained at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2), which states, in pertinent part:

The petitioner shall establish to the satisfaction of the director that the petitioner and K-1 beneficiary have met in person within the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. As a matter of discretion, the director may exempt the petitioner from this

requirement only if it is established that compliance would result in extreme hardship to the petitioner

The regulation does not define what may constitute extreme hardship to the petitioner. Therefore, each claim of extreme hardship must be judged on a case-by-case basis taking into account the totality of the petitioner's circumstances.

Factual and Procedural History

The petitioner filed the Form I-129F on July 09, 2012, without supporting evidence. For this reason, the director denied the petition on January 3, 2013. On appeal, the petitioner states that a government representative misled him into submitting his application without evidence. The petitioner provides copies of photographs, three of which depict the applicant and the beneficiary, two dated June 2012 and the other two undated; an undated letter from the beneficiary to the applicant; and, a letter from a friend of the petitioner's family stating that he initiated the relationship between the petitioner and the beneficiary, and attests to their intention to marry. The applicant also submits his January 2013 telephone bill, showing calls he claims he made to the beneficiary.

Analysis

The petitioner has submitted some, but not all, of the required initial evidence. The petitioner has provided a photograph, dated June 2012, of himself and the beneficiary, which is evidence of meeting the beneficiary during the requisite period. The record, however, still lacks evidence of the petitioner's U.S. citizenship, such as the front and back of his birth certificate or the biographical page of an unexpired U.S. passport; evidence from the petitioner and the beneficiary of their intent to marry within 90 days of the beneficiary's admission into the United States in K-1 status; one passport-style photograph of the petitioner and one of the beneficiary; and two Forms G-325A, Biographic Information, for the petitioner and the beneficiary.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or does not demonstrate eligibility, USCIS may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The petitioner failed to submit the required documentation, and the beneficiary may not benefit from the instant petition. The petitioner bears the burden of proof in these proceedings.

Conclusion

As the petitioner still has not submitted all of the required initial evidence on appeal, the director's decision to deny the petition shall not be disturbed. In fiancée visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1); *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.