

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M1



FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 30 2009

[EAC 06 340 80373]

IN RE:


Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration  
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish that she was eligible for filing her TPS application after the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant claims that the applicant was a derivative beneficiary of her mother's asylum application which is still currently pending.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
  - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
    - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until March 9, 2009, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record shows that the applicant filed this application on August 30, 2006. The applicant filed her initial TPS application on December 2, 2002. The Director, California Service Center denied that application on February 3, 2004 because the applicant failed to respond to a request for evidence to establish her eligibility for TPS. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant appealed the director's decision. The applicant filed a subsequent re-registration application on May 10, 2005. The Director, California Service Center denied that application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant appealed the director's decision.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To

meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record of proceeding confirms that the applicant filed her application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002, she fell within the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) (listed above). If the qualifying condition or application has expired or been terminated, the individual must file within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of the qualifying condition in order to be considered for the late initial registration. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(g).

On November 27, 2006, the applicant was informed that she had not established her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, counsel states that the applicant was the derivative beneficiary of her mother's asylum application that is currently pending. While a pending asylum application technically rendered her eligible for late registration, regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 244(g) also require a late registration to be filed within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in 9 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). USCIS records indicate the mother's asylum application was denied on August 31, 2005. In order to be eligible for TPS, the applicant had to have filed her TPS application on or before October 31, 2005. However, the applicant did not file her TPS application until August 31, 2006.

Counsel also claims that the initial TPS application should not have been denied because the director incorrectly addressed the Notice of Intent to Deny requesting additional evidence. However, the director sent the notice to the address of record provided by the applicant. The notice was returned marked "Return to Sender"; therefore, the applicant's failure to receive the notice is of her own making.

Counsel also contends that the applicant received ineffective counsel from her prior counsel. Any appeal or motion based upon a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel requires: (1) that the claim be supported by an affidavit of the allegedly aggrieved respondent setting forth in detail the agreement that was entered into with counsel or the authorized representative with respect to the actions taken and what representations counsel or the representative did or did not make to the respondent in this regard, (2) that the person whose integrity or competence is being impugned, and (3) that the appeal or motion reflect whether a complaint has been filed with appropriate disciplinary authorities with respect to any violation of ethical or legal responsibilities, and if not, why not. *Matter of Lozada*, 9 I&N Dec. 637 (BIA 1988), *aff'd*, 857 F. 2d 10 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1988). Counsel has failed to submit any evidence in support of her claim, evidence confirming that counsel or authorized representative has been notified of the incompetence claim, or evidence demonstrating that a complaint, based upon the allegations, has been filed with the appropriate disciplinary authorities. To the extent that the applicant has failed to produce evidence sufficient to substantiate an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, the AAO will review the record applying standard statutory and regulatory eligibility requirements and burdens of proof.

The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

Beyond the decision of the director, it is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish her qualifying continuous residence since February 13, 2001 and continuous physical presence from March 9, 2001 to the filing date of the TPS application. It is also noted that although the applicant has submitted a copy of a birth certificate with English translation, it was not accompanied by a passport or any national identity document from the alien's country of origin bearing photo and/or fingerprint to establish her nationality and identity. Therefore, the application must be denied for these reasons as well.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.